

Andrew Barr MLA

Chief Minister

Treasurer

Minister for Climate Action

Minister for Trade, Investment and Economic Development

Minister for Tourism

Member for Kurrajong

RESPONSE TO QUESTION ON NOTICE**Questions on Notice Paper No 41****12 April 2024****Question No. 1813****ELIZABETH KIKKERT MLA:** To ask the Minister for Trade, Investment and Economic Development

1. When will the options analysis for determining a final site for a 'new, or significantly enhanced, Canberra Stadium' final report be (a) submitted and (b) made public.
2. It has been reported that a new/enhanced stadium will cost 'at least \$500 million'. What is the projected cost of the (a) new build on a different site in Bruce, (b) demolition of the existing stadium followed by a rebuild on site and (c) staged, significant upgrades to the existing stadium.
3. The options analysis is examining '11 possible options' within Bruce, including the current site, an adjacent site, and the site at the corner of Battye Street and Haydon Drive. What are the other eight sites.
4. For the demolish/rebuild option, what is the projected (a) completion time and (b) financial impact of no functioning Canberra Stadium during that time.
5. For the staged, significant upgrades option what is the projected (a) completion time and (b) financial impact of reduced capacity during that time.
6. For the option of a new build on a different site, what is the projected completion time.
7. What is the vehicle capacity for the current car parks that service Canberra Stadium.
8. What is the expected parking capacity for a new/enhanced stadium that is being considered as part of the options analysis.
9. If future parking is projected to be less than current, what is the basis for this determination.
10. Is underground parking being considered for any site as part of the options analysis.

ANDREW BARR MLA - The answer to the Member's question is as follows:

1. The current focus is on technical due diligence investigations which will inform advice about the siting for a new stadium. A timeframe for the final decision is currently not set given the range of inputs required that are not yet known.
2. Refer responses to question on notice 1744.
3. The sites considered include:
 - Bruce: Two (2) sites adjacent the Australian Institute of Sport (AIS) in Bruce (Block 4, Section 9)
 - AIS: Besides the phased refurbishment and knock down/rebuild options on the current GIO site, five (5) other sites within the AIS precinct were considered
 - EPIC: Three (3) sites within the EPIC precinct, and
 - Civic: The Civic Pool site was re-visited.
- 4-6. The detailed planning required to accurately respond to this question has not been undertaken as the focus is on the technical due diligence relating to alternate sites.
7. The figures below are approximations only:
 - Carpark A (east of the GIO Stadium) = 1,500 car spaces
 - Carpark B (opposite the Arena) = 975 car spaces
 - Carpark C (north of B) = 380 car spaces available to GIO Stadium (700 on non-event days)
 - Carpark D (West of GIO Stadium) = 200 car spaces (300 on non-event days)
 - Total = 3,055 – 3,475 car spaces altogether
- 8-10. Ensuring good access to the venue, including by public transport or private vehicle, will be an important consideration as design work progresses. The level of detail sought in this question will be considered later in the design process.

Approved for circulation to the Member and incorporation into Hansard.



Andrew Barr MLA

Minister for Trade Investment and Economic Development

Date: 13.5.24

This response required 2hrs 6 Minutes to complete, at an approximate cost of \$239.

